# HUITT-ZOLIARS

HUITT-ZOLLARS, INC. 1 6501 Americas Pkwy. NE 1 Suite 830 1 Albuquerque, NM 87110-5375 1 505.883.8114 phone 1 505.883.5022 fax 1 huitt-zollars.com

#### **Meeting Minutes**

PROJECT: COA - North Domingo Baca Aquatic Center LOCATION: Virtual Meeting (GoTo Meeting)

PROJECT NO.: <u>P312254.01</u>

OWNER: COA

DATE: 0<u>3-16-2021</u>

TIME: <u>11:30am</u>

PURPOSE: Design Meeting 03

#### ATTENDEES:

Name	Representing		
Josh Herbert	COA Aquatics Division Manager		
Shawn Maden	COA CIP Strategic Project Manager		
Joe Gallegos	HZ Project Manager		
Larry McDonald	HZ Project Architect		
José Zelaya	HZ Project Architect		
Carlos De Anda	HZ Job Captain		

#### DISCUSSIONS:

- 1. JZ presented the orientation of the parking lot, building location, and the outdoor pool locations (see attachments).
  - a. Discussed the site grading issues; the site gets narrower and steeper as it moves from the south to the north.
  - b. The primary views are to the NE. Opening up to the north light is preferable to avoid any potential glare issues into the facility.
  - c. Any glazed openings on the west side will need to be designed to protect from glare entering the facility.
  - d. A connection to the upper parking lot will be provided.
  - e. Access to the parking lot will be from the Carmel only. The grade change from the existing access west of the gym eliminates the potential use of this access road to the new facility.
  - f. An access point to the pool mechanical room is required from a service road, parking lot or street.
- 2. COA is actively looking to purchase parcel(s) of the vacant land south of NDB. Should this occur, the building could be moved further south to fill the area that is currently identified as parking. Additional parking could move north of the facility.
- 3. HZ presented 2 scenarios (see attachment). JZ discussed that the purpose of this exercise is to look at the programmatic element sizes and relations. This is not meant to be a design, but a tool to discuss the sizes and how it impacts the site. The design effort will follow once a decision is made on the pool size (25yx25m or 50m).
  - a. The spaces between the parking and lobby (Entry plaza) will be designed to accommodate the grade change.

### ADVANCE**DESIGN**<sup>™</sup>

- b. JZ went through the circulation paths, hygiene areas, and access to the upper level.
- c. The mechanical room size and the pool sizes are more or less fixed dimensions. The other spaces are flexible and can be pushed and pulled during the design process.
- d. The outdoor pool will incorporate landscape areas to integrate with the surrounding site.
- e. Reviewed 2nd level spaces: access to parking, circulation connecting indoor pools. Potentially there could be an exterior access route to the outdoor pool without entering the building.
- f. Spectator seating will be moved to a mezzanine level to allow access to the pool from below the spectator seating, and reduce the size of the natatorium space. It is the preference of the owner to have spectator seating on the second level.
  - i. SM mentioned that the spectator seating is required to be separated from competition pool area.
  - ii. JH mentioned that the control room could be below the seating area (below the bleacher area).
- g. SM mentioned moving the restrooms more north to help centralize facilities (for 50m option). The outdoor folks would need to travel through the entire facility currently (sim to West Mesa); would like the outdoor pool closer to the hygiene area.
- 4. JZ discussed the challenges of tying the entry with the primary access points to facilitate the ease of entry into the locker rooms by guests. The distances and relationships can be manipulated during the design process, but the general footprints shown in the diagrams are the correct sizes.
- 5. There is an approximate 10K SF difference between the 25y x 25m and the 50m pools (approximately 44k sf to 54k sf, respectively); average cost per SF is dependent on the various spaces and uses.
- 6. JH: Possibly invert the pool and diving well to centralize the entry. Mirror the layout of the building. Bring the diving well to the south end of the building to bring the outdoor pool closer to the building. The lobby could be on the south west corner of the building.
- 7. SM: Changing the slight angle of the building may free up more room.
  - a. JZ discussed that the site gets narrower at the top so straightening out the angle will actual pinch the overall building width. The schemes presented show program filling in a portion of the wider area of the site. JZ agrees that buildings at an angle provide exciting and animated vistas in a campus setting but could create less efficient utilization of land. JZ discussed looking at this in more detail once the general size has been determined.
- 8. JH: Likes the options so far. Discussed that a +10K SF is a significant add to the overall project. They may need to look at a third option (two 25y x 25m indoor pools). JZ mentioned that the Artesia aquatic center was \$18.23M (completed 2019) and is comparable to what is shown here (8 lanes instead of 10, no diving well. The cost also included 2 outdoor pools).
- 9. SM: Councilor Bassan may opt for (2) 25yd pools instead of the 50M pool.
  - a. JH: Having 2 pools inside may be an option 03. Possibly an "add on" to the second indoor pool. Expand the warm up/cool down pool and have it be adjacent to the diving

## HUITT-ZOLIARS

well. JH stated that this option could be presented to Councilor Bassan. They like the current layout, but we'll see what she would like to do to moving forward.

- 10. SM: Mentioned the budget is going through the legislative session currently.
- 11. Adjournment
  - a. 12:15 pm

	ACTION REQUIRED	RESPONSIBILITY	OPENED	CLOSED
1.	Utility information from COA	Shawn M.	01-13-2021	03-18-21
2.	Utility/Topo Survey information from <i>Consensus</i> <i>Planning (Chris Green)</i>	HZ – Larry McD.	01-13-21	1-20-21
3.	Review MAHC requirements re: splash pads	HZ – Carlos D	01-13-21	1-20-21
4.	Complete Agreement with newly defined SOW	HZ – Joe G.	01-13-21	03-02-21
5.	Provide costs differences between a 25 x25 pool vs. 50m pool	HZ – JZ	03-05-21	03-10-21
6.	HZ to provide sketches showing the building size requirements for a 25y x 25m vs. 50m pools on the NDB site. To be completed NLT 3/19	HZ-JZ	03-05-21	03-18-21
7.	Provide a Program of Spaces for the NDB AC	HZ – JZ	03-05-21	
8.	Provide a fee proposal to COA for Topo Survey	HZ – JG	03-05-21	03-16-21
9.	Determine quantity of parking spaces at vacant land south of Carmel.	HZ-JZ	03-05-21	
10.				
11.				

Meeting minutes will become official three working days after distribution. Please provide corrections and modifications within working three days.









